

Journal of Paleolimnology

Editors-in-Chief

Prof. John P. Smol

Dept. of Biology
Queen's University
Kingston, Ontario
K7L 3N6 Canada

Tel: (613) 533-6147

Fax: (613) 533-6617

E-mail: smolj@biology.queensu.ca

Dear colleague: The enclosed manuscript has been submitted to the Journal of Paleolimnology. I would be grateful if you would review the manuscript, and advise me as to whether you believe the paper should be published. Please check the appropriate boxes below, and add additional comments on separate sheets of paper (2 copies if possible). Feel free to annotate the manuscript with your comments. Your review will be transmitted to the corresponding author, and you will remain anonymous, unless you specifically ask to be identified (see below).

Please remember that all manuscripts must be treated confidentially, and so please do not copy or cite any of the enclosed material until it is published, unless you have obtained permission from the author(s) to do so.

Please return your comments and the manuscript to me as soon as possible. If you cannot do this review within approximately 3 weeks of receiving it, please let me know.

Manuscript No. JOPL-

Entitled:

Type of Article: regular paper note review other _____

Referee's Checklist:

(please expand on these points in your detailed review of the paper)

	yes	no	see comment sheet
1. Is the subject matter of this article suitable for this journal?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Is the paper of broad interest?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Is this contribution original and novel? (If parts of it have been published before, please specify.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Does the title clearly reflect the contents of the paper?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Are the key words appropriate and adequate, so that an abstracting service could use them to correctly categorize the paper?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Is the length of the paper commensurate with the contribution's significance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Can you suggest any deletions? (please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Is the organization of the paper satisfactory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Is the paper clearly presented?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Are the illustrations and tables adequate? (Please note any potential problems with reproduction of any of the figures.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Are the references adequate, correctly cited, and are they all necessary?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Is the paper acceptable for publication: a) in its present form?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

b) with minor revisions?

c) with moderate revisions?

d) only with major revisions?

e) unacceptable for publication?

Please expand on any additional comments on a separate sheet(s) of paper.

NAME: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Do you wish to remain anonymous? yes no